

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,392	09/24/2003	Richard Boydston North	P-11561.02US		
28863 7	10/02/2006		EXAMINER		
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A.			EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
8425 SEASONS PARKWAY SUITE 105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. PAUL, M	N 55125		3762		

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\bigcirc	r			
		Aı	oplication No.		Applicant(s)	<i>y</i>			
Office Action Summary		10	0/669,392		NORTH ET AL.				
		E	caminer		Art Unit				
			eorge R. Evanisko	_	3762				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHI0 - External after af	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN. In no event, however, may oply and will expire SIX (6) Muse the application to become	VICATION a reply be time ONTHS from t	l. ely filed he mailing date of this (
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 9/24/03							
			ion is non-final.						
· <u> </u>		•		atters, pro	secution as to th	e merits is			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-59</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
ا∆(٥	Claim(s) <u>20-59</u> are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepte	ed or b)⊡ objected t	o by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
	The oath or declaration is objected to	b by the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ed Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
`	bee the attached detailed Office action	in for a list of th	ne certified copies no	ot received	J.				
Attachmer	ut(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Dat	te				
3) ∐ Infor Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:		tent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 20-45, drawn to a method and corresponding system to display a list of parameters, classified in class 607, subclass 59.
- II. Claims 46-59, drawn to a method and corresponding system to identify the IMD, classified in class 607, subclass 31.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require ordering the list of stimulation settings according to user chosen criteria. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring the identification of the IMD, but using a programmer made specifically for use for a particular IMD.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or

Art Unit: 3762

divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Jason Kelly on 9/26/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Evanisko whose telephone number is 571 272 4945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571 272 4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762